	WIENTAL PROTECTION
WG.Bh	a Vane
E F	ORIDA

SURFACE COATING OPERATIONS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:						
AIRS ID#: 0112562 DATE: 02/26/2009 ARRIVE: 10:00AM DEPART: 11:00AM FACILITY NAME: PAIGE AUTO REPAIR & BODY SHOP, INC. FACILITY LOCATION: 1730 SUNRISE BLVD. FORT LAUDERDALE 33311-6902 OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: CROSWELL PAIGE PHONE: (954)463-7534 CONTACT NAME: Call Bell-NEW OWNER PHONE: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 8/3/2006 / 8/3/2011 (effective date) (end date)						
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box) IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
PART II: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Does the facility operate any emissions units other than the surface coating operations and emissions units which are exempt from permitting pursuant to the criteria of paragraph 62-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., or have been exempted from permitting under Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.a., F.A.C.) □Yes ☑ No 2. Does the owner/operator of the facility maintain records to document the VOC content of the coatings and the quantity of the coatings used?						
PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTANANCE REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is/Are the surface coating operation(s) subject to a VOC Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) emission limiting standard of Chapter 62-296.500, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.b., F.A.C.)						

PART III: <u>CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. – (continued)

(check $\overline{\square}$ appropriate box(es))

3.	Does the owner/operator encourage pollution prevention through such measures as training employees
	involved in surface coating operations on methods of reducing VOC emissions by:
	a) maintaining annow agating againment to answe offective annlightion with a minimum of every nearly

a)	maintaining spray coating equipment to ensure effective application with a minimum of overspray?	Xes 🗌 No
b)	monitoring the coating thickness to avoid excessive coating?	Xes 🗌 No
c)	considering the use of low-VOC coatings (e.g., waterborne, ultra-violet cured, or powder coatings)?	Yes No
d)	implementing inventory control practices to prevent spillage?	Yes No
e)	implementing management practices to reduce VOC emissions during cleanup by:	

1.	spraying light colored coatings before dark colored coatings to reduce the number of cleaning	
	cycles?	Yes No
2)	recycling cleaning solvents?	Yes No
3)	using water based cleaners?	Yes No

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>		
1. Since the last inspection has there been		
a) installation of any new process equipment?	Yes	No
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	Yes	No
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most		
recent notification form?	Yes	No
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete		
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or		
local program office?	Yes	⊠No

Elizabeth F. Susky

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

02/26/2009

Date of Inspection

02/26/2010

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: This facility has a new owner (Call Bell/DPC Daniella's Paint Corp.). The new owner (Mr. Bell) was keeping excellent VOC records and has MSDS sheets on-site. AQD staff informed him that he will be receiving a Warning Notice for operating without a permit and he will need to fill out a General Permit Applicaction. The facility has one spray booth and it is properly maintained.